

A 2-DIMENSIONAL COMPLEX KLEINIAN GROUP WITH INFINITE LINES IN THE LIMIT SET LYING IN GENERAL POSITION

W. BARRERA, A. CANO & J. P. NAVARRETE

ABSTRACT. In this article we present an example of a discrete group $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}} \subset PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ whose action on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ does not have invariant projective subspaces, is not conjugated to complex hyperbolic group and its limit set in the sense of Kulkarni on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ has infinite lines in general position.

INTRODUCTION

In [6] the author has constructed an example of 3-manifold which admits an exotic projective structure, as part of this construction the author, by means of the use of the Pappus's theorem, has build up a discrete group Σ of $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ which has a fractal curve as a unique closed minimal set. The main purpose of this note is to show that considering the action of Σ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ one gets an example of a discrete group whose discontinuity region in the Kulkarni sense is non empty, even in case that the respective region on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ might be empty, its limit set in the sense of Kulkarni has infinite lines in general position and is not conjugated either to a group which has invariant projective spaces or a complex hyperbolic group, which where the only known examples. More precisely we show:

Theorem 0.1. *There is a discrete group $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}} \subset PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *The group $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ is complex Kleinian;*
- (ii) *There is a fractal curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ which is the minimal closed set for the action of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$;*
- (iii) *The discontinuity region and the discontinuity region in the Kulkarni sense agree;*
- (iv) *There is a fractal curve $T \subset Gr(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ such that:*

$$\Lambda(\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}) = \bigcup T;$$

- (v) *The number of lines on $\Lambda(\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$ lying on general position is infinite;*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 32Q45, 37F45; Secondary 22E40, 57R30.

Key words and phrases. kleinian groups, pseudo-projective transformations, projective complex plane, discrete groups, limit set.

Research partially supported by grants from CNPq.

- (vi) *The group $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ does not have invariant lines;*
- (vii) *The group $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not complex hyperbolic, i.e. is not conjugated to a group which leaves the unitary complex ball invariant.*

This article is organized as follows: in section 1 we introduce some terms and notations which will be used along the text and finally in section 2, we present the proof of theorem 0.1.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

1.1. The Kulkarni's Limit Set.

Definition 1.1. Given a group G acting on a topological space X , then X is said to be a discontinuity region for X if for every pair of compact subsets C and D of X , the cardinality of the set $\{g \in G : g(C) \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$, is finite.

R. Kulkarni introduced in [4] a concept of limit set that has the important property of assuring that its complement is a region of discontinuity. Let us proceed to define it. Let $L_0(G)$ be the closure of the set of points in X with infinite isotropy group. Let $L_1(G)$ be the closure of the set of cluster points of orbits of points in $X \setminus L_0(G)$. Finally, let $L_2(G)$ be the closure of the set of cluster points of GK , where K runs over all the compact subsets of $X \setminus (L_0(G) \cup L_1(G))$. We have:

Definition 1.2 (See [4]). Let X be a topological space and G be a group of homeomorphisms of X . The Kulkarni limit set of G in X is the set:

$$\Lambda(G) := L_0(G) \cup L_1(G) \cup L_2(G).$$

The Kulkarni region of discontinuity of G is

$$\Omega(G) := X \setminus \Lambda(G).$$

A group is said to be Kleinian if $\Omega(G)$ is non empty.

An easy argument shows that $\Omega(X)$ is a discontinuity region for G .

1.2. Projective geometry. We recall that given a vector space V over a field K is to be defined as

$$PV = (V \setminus \{0\}) / \approx$$

where $\approx$ denotes the equivalence relation given by $x \approx y$ if and only if $x = cy$ for some nonzero scalar c . If $[\] : V \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow PV$ represents the quotient map, then a nonempty set $H \subset PV$ is said to be a line projective if there is a K -linear subspace $\tilde{H} \subset V$ of dimension 2 such that $[\tilde{H}] = H$. It is well known that, given $p, q \in PV$ there is a unique line passing through p and q . Also set $Gr(PV)$ to be the space of lines, it can be seen that $Gr(PV) = PV$ if K is either $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ and V has dimension 3.

The group of projective automorphisms is:

$$PSL(V) := GL(V) / \approx$$

where $\approx$ denotes the equivalence relation given by $x \approx y$ if and only if $x = cy$ for some nonzero scalar c . The elements in $PSL(V)$ are called projective transformations, they act in a natural way on PV and it is verified that take lines into lines. Also given $\tilde{M} : V \rightarrow V$ a nonzero linear transformation which is not necessarily invertible. Let $Ker(M)$ be its kernel and let $Ker(M)$ denote its projectivisation, the pseudo-projective transformation induced by $\tilde{M}$ is the map $M : PV \setminus Ker(V) \rightarrow PV$ $M([v]) = [M(v)]$.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proposition 2.1. *In [6], by means of the Pappus's Theorem, the author has constructed a discrete group $\Sigma \subset PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ with the following properties.*

- (i) *Algebraically Σ is the modular group $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3$.*
- (ii) *There are fractal curves $\alpha_1 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$, $\alpha_2 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow Gr(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2)$ such that $\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is the unique transverse linefield to $\alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$, $\alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is the section to $\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}^1)$*
 $\Sigma\alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$, $\Gamma^*\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}^1) = \alpha_2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and
 $\alpha_2(p) \cap \alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \{\alpha_1(p)\}$, for each $p \in \mathbb{S}^1$.
- (iii) *There are transformations $\gamma \in \Sigma$ (resp. Σ^*) with an attracting and a repelling fixed point. From now on, we will call such transformations Loxodromic and we will denote the respective attracting and repelling fixed points by γ_+ and γ_- , (resp. γ_+^* and γ_-^*).*
- (iv) *There are loxodromic elements $\gamma, \tau \in \Sigma$ such that:*

$$\{\gamma_+, \gamma_-\} \cap \{\tau_+, \tau_-\} = \emptyset;$$

- (v) *The set of repelling and attracting loxodromic fixed points are dense in $\alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ respectively, that is:*

$$\alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \overline{\{\gamma_+, \gamma_- : \gamma \in \Sigma \text{ is loxodromic}\}};$$

$$\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}^1) = \overline{\{\gamma_+^*, \gamma_-^* : \gamma \in \Sigma^* \text{ is loxodromic}\}}.$$

- (vi) *Let $(\gamma_m) \subset \Sigma$ be a sequence of distinct elements. Thus for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and points $p, q \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that*

$$\gamma_n(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus B_\epsilon(\alpha_2(q))) \subset B_\epsilon(\alpha_1(p)).$$

where $B_\epsilon(\alpha_i(x))$ denotes open ball with radius ϵ , center $\alpha_i(x)$ and with respect the spherical metric ρ .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\gamma \in \Sigma$ be a loxodromic element, then there are $s, t \in \mathbb{S}^1$ distinct elements such that $\alpha_1(s) = \gamma_+$, $\alpha_1(t) = \gamma_-$, $Fix(\gamma) = \{\alpha_1(s), \alpha_1(t), \gamma_c\}$, where γ_c is the unique element in $\alpha_2(s) \cap \alpha_2(t)$, which is also a saddle point.*

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \Sigma$ be a loxodromic element. Thus $\gamma_+, \gamma_- \in \alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$. In consequence there are $x, x_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $\alpha_1(x_1) = \gamma_+$ and $\alpha_1(x_2) = \gamma_-$. Thus

$$\gamma(\alpha_2(x_i)) \cap \alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \{\alpha_1(x_i)\}$$

Since α_2 is Σ^* -invariant we conclude $\gamma(\alpha_2(x_i)) = \alpha_2(x_i)$. In consequence $\alpha_2(x_1) \cap \alpha_2(x_2)$ is fixed by γ . Therefore the fixed set of γ consist on exactly 3 points, each of one is contained in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. $\square$

A similar argument yields

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\gamma \in \Sigma$ be a loxodromic element, then there are $s, t \in \mathbb{S}^1$ distinct elements such that $\alpha_2(s) = \gamma_+^*$, $\alpha_2(t) = \gamma_-^*$, $Fix(\gamma) = \{\alpha_2(s), \alpha_2(t), \gamma_c^*\}$, where γ_c^* is the unique element in $Gr(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2)$ which contains $\alpha_1(s)$ and $\alpha_1(t)$, which is also a saddle point.*

Lemma 2.4. *The group Σ does not have invariant real lines.*

Proof. On the contrary let us assume that there is $\ell \in Gr(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2)$ which is Σ -invariant. Now since $\alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a fractal curve we conclude that there is $\gamma \in \Sigma$ a loxodromic elements such that $\gamma_+ \notin \ell$. Now, let $s, t \in \mathbb{S}^1$ be distinct elements such that $\alpha_1(s) = \gamma_+$, $\alpha_1(t) = \gamma_-$, $\alpha_2(s) = \gamma_+^*$ and $\alpha_2(t) = \gamma_-^*$. Since ℓ is invariant under the action of γ , Corollary 2.3 yields $\ell = \alpha_2(t)$.

Claim 1. If $\tau \in \Sigma$ is a loxodromic element, thus $\alpha_1(t)$ is a fixed point of τ which is not saddle. Now, let $\tau \in \Sigma$ be a loxodromic element. Then by Lemma 2.2 there are $s_1, t_1 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ distinct elements such that $\alpha_1(s_1) = \tau_+$, $\tau_1(t) = \tau_-$, $\alpha_2(s_1) = \gamma_+^*$ and $\alpha_2(t_1) = \gamma_-^*$. Since $\alpha_2(t)$ is τ -invariant and $\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is the unique transverse linefield to $\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}^1)$, Corollary 2.3 yields that either $\alpha_2(t) = \alpha_2(t_1)$ or $\alpha_2(t) = \alpha_2(s_1)$. In consequence $\alpha_1(t)$ is a fixed point of τ which is not saddle.

From the previous claim it follows $\alpha_1(t) \in \cap\{\tau_+, \tau_- : \tau \in \Sigma \text{ is loxodromic}\}$, which contradicts part (iv) of Proposition 2.1. $\square$

Dualizing the previous argument one gets

Lemma 2.5. *The group Σ does not have fixed points on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$.*

Lemma 2.6. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ be a closed, non empty set such that $Stab(M, \Sigma)$ is a subgroup of finite index of Σ , then $\alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \subset M$.*

Proof. Let us assume that there is $\gamma \in \Sigma$ a loxodromic element such that $\gamma_+ \notin M$. Thus by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.4, it follows that $M \setminus Fix(\gamma)$ has at least one element, say y . Since $Stab(M, \Sigma)$ has finite index, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma^k \in Stab(M, \Sigma)$. Thus $(\gamma^{mk}(y)) \subset M$ and $\gamma^m(y) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_+$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(T_m) \subset \Sigma$ be a sequence of distinct elements and $T \in QP(3, \mathbb{R})$ be a pseudoprojective transformation such that $T_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} T$, then there are $r, s \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $Im(T) = \alpha_1(r)$ and $Ker(T) = \alpha_2(s)$.*

Proof. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\epsilon_m = \frac{diam_{\rho}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2)}{8^m}.$$

Thus by part (vi) of Proposition 2.1, there is a subsequence of (T_m) , still denoted (T_m) , sequences $(r_m), (s_m) \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $r_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} r$, $s_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} s$ and

$$T_m(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus \overline{B_{\epsilon_m}(\alpha_2(r_m))}) \subset B_{\epsilon_m}(\alpha_1(s_m)).$$

Let $K \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus \alpha_2(r)$ be a non empty compact set. Since $\alpha_2(r_m) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_2(r)$, it follows that there is $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$B_{\epsilon_m}(\alpha_2(r_m)) \subset B_d(\alpha_2(r)) \text{ for all } m \geq m_0,$$

where $d = 4^{-1}\rho(\alpha_2(r), K)$. Thus

$$T_m(K) \subset B_{\epsilon_m}(\alpha_1(s_m)) \text{ for all } m \geq m_0.$$

Now let $\epsilon > 0$, since $\alpha_1(s_m) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_1(s)$, it follows that there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$B_{\epsilon_m}(\alpha_1(s_m)) \subset B_{\epsilon}(\alpha_1(s)) \text{ for all } m \geq n_0.$$

In consequence

$$T_m(K) \subset B_{\epsilon}(\alpha_1(s)) \text{ for all } m \geq \max\{m_0, n_0\},$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

For each $\ell \in Gr(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2)$, let us denote by $\langle \ell \rangle$ the unique complex line which contains ℓ . Also let us denote the action of Σ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proposition 2.8. *The group $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ does not have fixed points.*

Proof. On the contrary, let us assume that there is a point $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ which is fixed by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$. Now, let $\gamma \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a loxodromic element. Thus my Lemma 2.2 it follows that $p \in Fix(\gamma) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$, which contradicts lemma 2.5. $\square$

Proposition 2.9. *The group $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not affine.*

Proof. On the contrary, let us assume that there is a line $\ell \in Gr(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ which is Σ^* -invariant. Now an easy calculation shows that $\ell_1 = \ell \cap \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ is either a point or a real line which is Σ -invariant. Which contradicts Lemmas 2.5 and 2.4.. $\square$

Proposition 2.10. *The group $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not complex hyperbolic.*

Proof. On the other hand, let us assume that $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ is conjugated to a complex hyperbolic group. Thus there is a smooth manifold M diffeomorphic to the 3-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ which is $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant. Now let $\gamma \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a loxodromic element and $y \in M \setminus Fix(\gamma)$, thus $\gamma^m(y) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_+$. In consequence,

$\widetilde{M} = M \cap \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ is a non empty, compact, Σ -invariant, smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$. Let $n_0 = \max\{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(N) : N \subset M \text{ is a connected component}\}$. Thus n_0 is finite since M is compact. Now let N_0 be a connected component of M such that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(N_0) = n_0$. Since M is compact it follows that $Stab(N_0, \Sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a subgroup of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ with finite index. Thus Lemma 2.6 yields $\alpha_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \subset N_0$. Since N_0 is smooth, compact and connected we conclude $n_0 = 2$. Thus $N_0 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$, which is a contradiction since $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ cannot be immersed in $\mathbb{S}^3$. $\square$

Recall that given a family of continuous functions $\mathcal{A} = \{f_\alpha : X \rightarrow Y\}_{\alpha \in I}$, where X and Y are topological spaces. The equicontinuity region of $\mathcal{A}$ is to be defined as the sets of points $x \in X$ which has an open neighborhood such that each sequence $(f_m) \subset \mathcal{A}$ has a subsequence which is convergent on U with respect the compact-open topology.

Corollary 2.11. *The equicontinuity set of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ is non empty open set and is the union of all the lines induced by $\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}^1)$, that is:*

$$Eq(\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{S}^1} \langle \alpha_2(x) \rangle.$$

Proof. Let $(T_m) \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a sequence of distinct elements. Thus, see [2], there is a transformation $\tilde{T} \in M(3, \mathbb{R})$, a subsequence of (T_m) , still denoted (T_m) , and a sequence $\tilde{T}_m \in SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\tilde{T}_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{T}$ as $\mathbb{C}$ -linear transformations and with respect the compact-open topology. Now by considering $\tilde{T}$ and $(\tilde{T}_m)$ as $\mathbb{R}$ -linear transformations and applying Lemma 2.7 we deduce $[Ker(\tilde{T}) \setminus \{0\}] = \alpha_2(r)$ and $[Im(\tilde{T}) \setminus \{0\}] = \{\alpha_1(s)\}$. Thus considering $\tilde{T}$ as $\mathbb{C}$ -linear transformation one gets $Ker(T) = \langle \alpha_2(r) \rangle$ and $Im(T) = \{\alpha_1(s)\}$. Now the description of $Eq(\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$ follows from part (v) of Proposition 2.1.

Finally, let $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$, $y \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus \alpha_2(z)$, $\ell \in Gr(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2)$ such that $y, \alpha_1(z)$ and $x \in \langle \ell \rangle \setminus \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$. Clearly $x \in Eq(\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$. $\square$

Proposition 2.12. *The number of lines on $\Lambda_{Kul}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$ lying in general position is infinite.*

Proof. Since $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ does not have invariant lines on fixed point, it can be shown, see [1], that the number of lines in general position lying on $\Lambda(\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}})$ is either 3 or infinite. On the other hand, in [1] it is showed a group which have exactly 3 lines on its limit set in the Kulkarni sense, should satisfy have a normal commutative subgroup N with finite index. Which is not possible for $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ since it is, algebraically, the modular group. $\square$

Now it follows easily

Proposition 2.13. *The group $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Kleinian group such that the equicontinuity region agrees with the discontinuity region in the Kulkarni's sense, that is:*

$$\Omega_{Kul}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{S}^1} \langle \alpha_2(x) \rangle.$$

REFERENCES

1. W. Barrera, A. Cano, J. P. Navarrete, *The discontinuity Region for subgroups of $PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$* , preprint 2009.
2. A. Cano, J. Seade, *On the Equicontinuity Region of Discrete Subgroups of $PU(1, n)$* , Journal of Geometric Analysis, DOI 10.1007/s12220-009-9107-6.
3. A. Cano, J.-P. Navarrete, J. Seade. *Complex Kleinian Groups*. Monograph to be published.

4. R. S. Kulkarni. *Groups with Domains of Discontinuity*, Math. Ann. **237**, 253-272 (1978).
5. J.-P. Navarrete. On the limit set of discrete subgroups of $PU(2, 1)$. Geom. Dedicata 122, 1-13 (2006).
6. R. Schwartz, *Pappus' theorem and the modular group*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 78 (1993), pp. 187-206.
7. J. Seade, A. Verjovsky. Actions of Discrete Groups on Complex Projective Spaces. Contemporary Math., 269 (2001), 155-178.

WALDEMAR BARRERA: UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE YUCATÁN FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS,
ANILLO PERIFÉRICO NORTE TABLAJE CAT 13615 CHUBURNÁ HIDALGO, MÉRIDA YU-
CATÁN. MÉXICO., ANGEL CANO: INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA (IMPA),
RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL., JUAN PABLO NAVARRETE: UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE YU-
CATÁN FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, ANILLO PERIFÉRICO NORTE TABLAJE CAT 13615
CHUBURNÁ HIDALGO, MÉRIDA YUCATÁN. MÉXICO.,

E-mail address: `bvargas@uady.mx`, `angel@impa.br`, `jp.navarrete@uady.mx`